# What to Do When You and Your Adversary Can't Agree on a Beit Din

Rabbi Shlomo Weissmann<sup>1</sup>

Ideally, parties to a dispute would agree to litigate at an established and reputable *beit din*. The *beit din* would then empanel a group of expert *dayanim* (arbitrators) to hear the case. Practically, however, the *din torab* process can get stalled when parties fail to agree on a *beit din*—when each party rejects the other's proposed forum. As we explained elsewhere, a *beit din* generally does not have jurisdiction to decide a case until it is accepted by both parties through an arbitration agreement.<sup>2</sup>

# ZABLA PANELS

If the parties cannot agree on an established *beit din*, Jewish law provides for the formation of an ad hoc "*zabla*" panel.<sup>3</sup> *Zabla* ( $\pi$ "' $\pi$ ") is an acronym for *zeb borer lo echad* (lit. each party selects one arbitrator), which captures how the panel is formed: Each party selects one arbitrator (sometimes referred to as a *borer*). The two arbitrators then choose a third member to complete the panel (sometimes referred to as the *shalisb*).

If the case proceeds before a *zabla* panel, each chosen *borer* has a heightened responsibility to consider the perspective of the party that chose him.<sup>4</sup> But ultimately the *dayanim* on a *zabla* panel should function as impartial arbitrators and decide the case exclusively on its merits.<sup>5</sup>

# ZABLA PROBLEMS

In practice, *zabla* panels can be problematic because litigants and *borerim* (pl. of *borer*) have approached *zabla* cases with the view that the *borer* should function as

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Rabbi Weissmann is the Director of the Beth Din of America.

<sup>2</sup> See Rabbi Yona Reiss, *Jewish Law, Civil Procedure: A Comparative Study*, JOURNAL OF THE BETH DIN OF AMERICA 1 (2012), 18–19, available at https://bethdin.org/wp-content/uploads/2019/07/ Jewish-Law-Civil-Procedure-A-Comparative-Study-by-Rabbi-Yona-Reiss.pdf.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Shulchan Arukh, Choshen Mishpat 13:1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Rosh, Sanhedrin 3:2; Tur, Choshen Mishpat 13:8; Arukh Hashulchan, Choshen Mishpat 13:3.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Rosh and Tur, supra note 4; Shut Panim Me'irot 2:159; Pitchei Teshuvah, Choshen Mishpat 13:3; Rabbi Mordechai Willig, Ha'arot be-Reish Perek Zeh Borer, Beit Yitzchak 36 (2004), 17–21, available at https://www.yutorah.org/lectures/lecture.cfm/727296/rabbi-mordechai-i-willig/-ז-21, avail-הערות-בריש- פרק See also Rabbi Yona Reiss, The Torah-u-Madda Mandate for Beth Din in Today's World, JOURNAL OF THE BETH DIN OF AMERICA 2 (2014), 24–25, available at https://bethdin.org/ wp-content/uploads/2019/07/The-Torah-u-Madda-Mandate-for-Beth-Din-in-Todays-World-by-Rabbi-Yona-Reiss.pdf.

a full advocate for the party that chose him. This creates a host of halakhic problems and is the reason why *poskim* have discouraged *zablas*.<sup>6</sup> For example, Jewish law prohibits *ex parte* communication between arbitrators and litigants.<sup>7</sup> Yet *borerim* have sometimes engaged in private communications with the litigants who selected them.<sup>8</sup> Similarly, Jewish law prohibits arbitrators from taking money from individual litigants.<sup>9</sup> Yet *borerim* have sometimes even taken side payments in the form of consultation fees from the litigants who hired them.<sup>10</sup>

In addition to these halakhic problems, *zabla* proceedings can be very costly. *Borerim* who sit on *zabla* panels often charge hourly rates higher than rates charged for proceedings overseen by established *battei din. Zabla* panels have also been criticized because they are used as stalling mechanisms. A litigant can stall the *din torah* process by picking a *borer* of ill-repute, knowing that a competent *dayan* would refuse to sit with him.

Because of these problems, a *din torah* arranged by an established, reputable *beit din* is always preferable to a *zabla* proceeding. The best way to head off a forum dispute—and *zabla* proceeding—is to include in your contracts a pre-dispute arbitration provision that specifies an established and reputable *beit din*.<sup>11</sup>

### Ensuring Procedural Fairness in a Zabla

If you find yourself in a forum dispute such that you and your adversary cannot agree on a *beit din*, there are some steps that you can take to enhance the fairness of a *zabla* proceeding.

One option is to arrange for the *zabla* to take place under the auspices and rules of an established *beit din*. The Beth Din of America has successfully conducted

<sup>11</sup> See Beth Din of America, *Sample Arbitration Provision*, available at https://bethdin.org/wp-content/uploads/2018/07/Contractual-Arbitration-Provision.pdf.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Shulchan Arukh, Choshen Mishpat 17:5.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> See Shut Panim Meirot and Pitchei Teshuvah, supra note 5. But see Arukh Hashulchan, Choshen Mishpat 13:4, who justifies this practice on the basis of an implicit waiver. Rabbi Mordechai Willig, supra note 5, raises several concerns regarding the Arukh Hashulchan's justification. In addition, the Arukh Hashulchan's ruling should be qualified for those many cases where parties do not wish to allow such communications, and wish instead to follow the strict integrity of the halakha.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Shulchan Arukh, Choshen Mishpat 9. The Shulchan Arukh (9:5) provides that if the dayanim are to be compensated by the parties directly, the parties must bear the costs evenly, and Shakh (9:6) requires that each party must make the payment in the presence of his adversary.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> See Shut Panim Meirot, Pitchei Teshuvah, and Rabbi Mordechai Willig, supra note 5.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> See, e.g., *Rema*, *Choshen Mishpat* 13:1.

such proceedings under its rules and procedures,<sup>12</sup> often with panels staffed by a *dayan* appointed by the Beth Din of America, a *dayan* from the defendant's chosen *beit din*, and a third *dayan* mutually agreed upon by the two *battei din*. When a defendant responds to a *bazmana* sent by the Beth Din of America by opting to appear before a different *beit din*, the Beth Din of America will sometimes contact that *beit din* to establish a joint panel overseen by one or both of the *batei din*, and present that option to the parties.<sup>13</sup>

If you cannot arrange for a *zabla* under the auspices of an established *beit din*, it is a good idea to insist that each side choose a *borer* who regularly serves as a *dayan* at a reputable *beit din*. Furthermore, at the outset of any *zabla* proceeding, it is important to have a clear conversation among the parties and *dayanim* regarding procedural issues such as *ex parte* communication and payment arrangements for the panel. Expectations should be clearly set forth in the *shtar berurin* that will govern the *zabla* proceeding, specifying that each *borer* will arbitrate impartially, that *ex parte* communications will be prohibited, and the like. As a way of ensuring fairness in *zabla* proceedings and avoiding various abuses, the Beth Din of America will not allow a defendant to respond to a *hazmana* by selecting a *to'en* (rabbinic advocate) as their *borer* for a *zabla*.<sup>14</sup> This policy is based on a presumption that a *borer* who regularly functions as a *to'en* will serve as an advocate for the party that hired him, not as an impartial *dayan*.

#### CONCLUSION

*Zabla* proceedings can be halakhically problematic, costly, and procedurally inefficient. A *din torah* arranged by an established *beit din* is almost always preferable to a *zabla*. It is therefore best to preempt a forum dispute by including a pre-dispute arbitration clause in your contracts, designating an established *beit din* as the arbitration forum for your dispute.

If you did not do that and you find yourself locked in a forum dispute, it is

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> See Beth Din of America, *Rules and Procedures*, available at https://bethdin.org/rules.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> See Nesivos Hamishpat (Biurim), Choshen Mishpat 14:3.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> Sections 2(e) and 2(f) of the Beth Din of America's *Rules and Procedures* provide that the Av Beth Din is entrusted with the authority to determine who is and is not authorized by Jewish law to serve as a selected arbitrator in a case. Accordingly, the Av Beth Din's opinion is dispositive with respect to these determinations, even if a particular litigant does not share the same opinions of the application of Jewish law. See Beth Din of America, *Rules and Procedures*, available at https://bethdin.org/rules.

#### WHAT TO DO WHEN YOU AND YOUR ADVERSARY CAN'T AGREE ON A BEIT DIN

important to take steps to ensure the fairness and integrity of a *zabla*. These steps include attempting to arrange for the *zabla* to take place under the auspices of an established *beit din* and its rules; ensuring that only *dayanim* who regularly function at reputable *batei din* will serve as *borerim* on your *zabla*; and laying down clear rules and procedures that will govern the *zabla* proceedings.